arXiv:gr-qc/9308024v1 23 Aug 1993

ZU-TH 25/93

Quantum Gravity and Non-unitarity in Black Hole Evaporation

Claus Kiefer[1]

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Zürich, Schönberggasse 9,
CH-8001 Zürich, Switzerland

Rainer Müller

Fakultät für Physik, Universität Konstanz, Postfach 5560 M 674,
D-78434 Konstanz, Germany

Tejinder P. Singh

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road,
Bombay 400005, India

Abstract

We discuss the relevance of quantum gravitational corrections to the functional Schrödinger equation for the information loss paradox in black hole evaporation. These corrections are found from the Wheeler-DeWitt equation through a semiclassical expansion scheme. The dominant contribution in the final evaporation stage, when the black hole approaches the Planck regime, is a term which explicitly violates unitarity in the non-gravitational sector. While pure states remain pure, there is an increase in the degree of purity for non-pure states in this sector. This result holds irrespective of whether full quantum gravity respects unitarity or not.
PACS numbers: 04.60.+n, 97.60.Lf.

---

[1] Address after October, 1st: Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany.

Soon after the discovery of black hole radiance, it was realized that the semiclassical description of an evaporating black hole seems to be at variance with the quantum mechanical unitarity of time evolution [1]. Let us consider a black hole that is formed by the collapse of a matter distribution which we assume to be in a pure state initially. It will emit thermal radiation, leading to its subsequent evaporation. If the evaporation is complete, only the Hawking radiation is left behind. Since thermal radiation cannot be described by a pure state, there seems to be an evolution from pure into mixed states, which is connected with a loss of information about the system. This constitutes the black hole information loss paradox which has received considerable attention recently (see [2, 3] for a review).

A number of possibilities have been proposed to resolve the paradox : (1) The above description is incomplete and the true time evolution is unitary [4]. This would mean that information must be encoded in the black hole radiation, for example by stimulated emission [5, 6, 7] or in correlations [8, 9]. It seems unlikely, however, that any of the mechanisms proposed up to now will be able to restore the unitarity of the black hole evaporation. (2) The black hole evaporation is not complete but ceases at a mass of the order of the Planck mass, leaving behind a Planck mass remnant [10]. The main problem with this approach is that only very little energy is available to store a huge amount of information. For a full discussion of the criticism of this possibility, we refer to the literature [2]. (3) The evolution from pure states into mixed states is an inherent property of quantum gravity [1]. This possibility has been criticized because investigations at the semiclassical level, which use an evolution law that is more general than the unitary Hamiltonian time evolution [11, 12, 13], lead to problems with energy and momentum conservation or locality [14]. This is thought to be not acceptable for a fundamental theory.

We must emphasize that in the formulation of the paradox outlined above, only the semiclassical theory is considered. The full problem of black hole evaporation has, however, to be treated within quantum gravity. The assumptions about the result of the evaporation process rest on speculations about the outcome of such a computation. Since a theory of quantum gravity is not yet available, nobody has been able to carry out such an investigation. (The attempts in two-dimensional dilaton gravity, reviewed in [15], are still inconclusive.)

Recent work [16] has indicated that the semiclassical Einstein equations may well break down long before the Planck scale is reached. To address the above issues properly, one should thus start at least from a specific approach to quantum gravity, such as canonical quantization of general relativity.

In this Letter, we will take into account the first-order quantum gravitational corrections to the semiclassical theory, which are obtained by a semiclassical expansion of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation [17]. This leads to quantum gravitational corrections to the functional Schrödinger equation, which yield an effective non-unitarity for the evolution of matter fields in a curved background.

How are these correction terms obtained? The starting point is the Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi \equiv \left(-\frac{16\pi\hbar^2 G}{c^2}G_{abcd}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h_{ab}\delta h_{cd}} - \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\sqrt{h}R + \mathcal{H}_m\right)\Psi = 0, \tag{1}$$

where R is the Ricci-scalar on a three-dimensional space, and $\mathcal{H}_m$ denotes the Hamiltonian density for non-gravitational fields. One then writes the full wave functional as $\Psi \equiv \exp(iS/\hbar)$ and expands S in powers of the gravitational constant: $S = G^{-1}S_0 + S_1 + GS_2 + \ldots$. This ansatz is inserted into (1), which leads to equations at consecutive orders of G. The highest order yields the gravitational Hamilton-Jacobi equation for S_0, which is equivalent to Einstein's field equations [18]. Any solution for S_0 gives a family of classical spacetimes. The next order leads to the functional Schrödinger equation for non-gravitational fields on one of the background spacetimes defined by S_0 [19],

$$i\hbar\int d^3x G_{abcd}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta h_{ab}}\frac{\delta\psi}{\delta h_{cd}} \equiv i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \int d^3x\mathcal{H}_m\psi. \tag{2}$$

Here, ψ denotes a wave functional which is constructed from S_0 and S_1 and thus depends on the three-metric as well as non-gravitational fields. Eq. (2) corresponds to the level of quantum field theory on a curved spacetime. The Hawking radiation of an evaporating black hole is described on this level and has been discussed in [20] with the help of the functional Schrödinger equation.

The next order in this expansion scheme then yields correction terms to (2) since it takes into account the interaction of quantum gravitational fluctuations with the non-gravitational fields. The corrected Schrödinger equation (the "first post-Schrödinger approximation") was derived in [17] and reads

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \int d^3x \left[\mathcal{H}_m + \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\frac{\mathcal{H}_m^2}{\sqrt{\hbar}R} + i\frac{4\pi\hbar G}{c^4}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tau}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_m}{\sqrt{\hbar}R}\right)\right]\psi. \tag{3}$$

It was shown in [17] that the correction terms are independent of the factor ordering ambiguity inherent in (1). The first correction term in (3) is hermitean and leads to a shift in energy eigenvalues. The second term is *non*-hermitean and thus leads to a quantum-gravitationally induced non-unitarity in the evolution of the wave functional ψ. The presence of such a term is not surprising. It arises simply because we have written down an effective equation for part of the system only and neglected degrees of freedom of the quantized gravitational field. Similar terms can be found in QED if one attempts a description of the matter fields without taking into account the degrees of freedom of the quantized electromagnetic field [21]. The occurrence of the non-unitary term can also be understood from the fact that the Wheeler-DeWitt equation (1) leads to a Klein-Gordon type of conservation law. Expanding this conservation law in powers of G leads to the conservation of the Schrödinger inner product at order G^0, which is then violated at order G. This violation is reflected by the occurrence of the non-unitary term in (3).

The corrected Schrödinger equation (3) is only *one* equation, in spite of the infinitely many Wheeler-DeWitt equations (1) (one at each space point). This happens since one has already chosen a specific slicing of one of the spacetimes described by S_0 at the level of (2). The correction terms in (3) express the influence of the "quantum fluctuations of spacetime" as an effective contribution to the Schrödinger equation on a given spacetime.

Although the conclusions of Ref. [14] about the disturbing implications of a more general than Hamiltonian time evolution do not directly apply to the present investigation, it would not be astonishing to find similar phenomena. It is well known that in a semiclassical theory one must expect precisely such kinds of inconsistencies [22].

The correction terms in (3) are formally undefined (since they involve delta functions at coinciding space points) and have to be regularized before definite physical predictions can be extracted. Although it is not yet clear how to perform such a regularization consistently, we argue that qualitative predictions for the evaporation of black holes can be obtained from (3). Since the background spacetime in this case is Ricci-flat, the Ricci scalar in (3) vanishes for the considered foliation. The derivation in [17], however, was performed for the case of compact three-geometries. Since we deal here with an asymptotically flat spacetime, we must take into account the boundary terms in the (integrated) Wheeler-DeWitt equation. In the present case this is just the ADM energy Mc^2, where M is the mass of the black hole. A brief inspection of (1) thus shows that one must replace the expression $\sqrt{\hbar}R$ in (3) by $-16\pi GM/c^2$, since now the ADM energy is an additional potential term in (1). This is already clear from dimensional arguments, since $\sqrt{\hbar}R$ has the dimension of a length, and the only length scale in our example is given by the Schwarzschild radius of the black hole. One should not be disturbed by the fact that this replacement brings another factor of G into play. The approximation scheme discussed in [17] is valid as long as the correction terms in (3) are small compared to the dominant term, even if the expansion is performed with some suitable small parameter different from G.

We now estimate the order of magnitude of the correction terms for the case of the evaporating black hole. The ratio of the first, hermitean, correction term to the dominant term, which is $\mathcal{H}_m$, is basically given by the ratio of the energy of the field to Mc^2, which should be small even if M approaches the Planck regime. The only relevant contribution, which we will denote by ΔH_m, comes from the second term in (3), which contains the time derivative of the mass of the black hole:

$$\Delta H_m = -\frac{4\pi i G\hbar}{c^4}\int d^3x \mathcal{H}_m \frac{\delta}{\delta\tau}\left(\frac{c^2}{16\pi GM}\right). \tag{4}$$

We have neglected here the time derivative of $\mathcal{H}_m$, since the change of the background geometry is the dominant contribution in the process of black hole evaporation. To evaluate this further, one needs the time dependence of the mass of the black hole. We take a simple model evolution law which can be obtained by phenomenological arguments (see, e.g., [23]):

$$M(t) = \left(M_0^3 - \frac{a\hbar c^4}{G^2}t\right)^{1/3}. \tag{5}$$

M_0 is the initial mass of the black hole, and a denotes a numerical factor which depends on the details of the model and whose exact value is irrelevant for the present qualitative discussion. By using (5) we of course implicitly assume that the black hole does not settle to a mass which is much bigger than the Planck mass. The non-unitary contribution (4) becomes relevant, if it is of the same order of magnitude as the dominant term in (3), which is the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_m$ of the non-gravitational field, i.e. if

$$\frac{\hbar}{4M^2c^2}\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} \approx \left(\frac{m_{Pl}}{M}\right)^4 \approx 1. \tag{6}$$

The non-unitary terms thus become important if the mass of the evaporating black hole approaches the Planck mass. This happens after a time $t_* \approx \left(M_0/m_{Pl}\right)^3 t_{Pl}$. After the mass of the black hole has entered the Planck regime, the semiclassical expansion breaks down and the full (as yet unknown) quantum theory of gravity comes into play. Depending on the detailed scenario, however, the correction term (4) may be a very good approximation. This may happen if the black hole settles to a remnant which is of the order of the Planck mass but such that the numerical value of (4) is still small compared to $\mathcal{H}_m$. It may also happen that the final evaporation time is of the order of M_0^4 [8] which would mean that (4) is an excellent approximation for a long period of time.

What is the relevance of this violation of unitarity for the information loss paradox discussed above? For this purpose let us investigate the implications of a non-hermitean Hamiltonian H_m for the time evolution of a system. The density matrix $\rho = \sum_n p_n|\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n|$ obeys the evolution equation

$$\dot{\rho} = -i(H_m\rho - \rho H_m^\dagger). \tag{7}$$

The quantity $\mathrm{Tr}\rho^2 - (\mathrm{Tr}\rho)^2$ is a measure for the deviation of ρ from a pure state. In the presence of a nonunitary evolution, it will in general not remain constant in time:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\mathrm{Tr}\rho^2 - (\mathrm{Tr}\rho)^2\right) = 4\mathrm{Tr}\left((\rho^2 - \rho\mathrm{Tr}\rho)\mathrm{Im}H_m\right). \tag{8}$$

This feature is not present in ordinary quantum mechanics: Eq. (8) shows that for $H_m = H_m^\dagger$ the deviation from a pure state remains always constant in time. One also recognizes from (8) that this mechanism does not operate if the initial state is precisely pure with $\mathrm{Tr}\rho = 1$ and $\rho^2 = \rho$. Accordingly, a pure initial state remains pure as long as our approximation scheme is valid. The non-unitarity of (7) arises since, although all wave functions ϕ_n in the decomposition of the density matrix obey a deterministic equation (the "corrected Schrödinger equation" (3)), the *relative* norms of these wave functions can change. This explains why the right-hand side of (8) is zero for pure states.

It is now important to note that in the present case $\mathrm{Im} H_m < 0$. This can be recognized from (4) by taking into account that the mass of the black hole *decreases*, i.e. that $\dot{M} < 0$. As a consequence, a mixed matter state will become more pure if the correction term comes into play. One might think of this as a partial "recovery of information" from the black hole and, therefore, as a hint that full quantum gravity may *preserve* unitarity.

To conclude, we have discussed the first-order quantum gravitational corrections to the functional Schrödinger equation for matter fields in a curved background. Because the quantum fluctuations of the gravitational field were neglected in the approximation scheme, an effective non-hermitean Hamiltonian was found. For the case of black hole evaporation it was found that the non-unitary correction terms become comparable to the unperturbed Hamiltonian in the final stages of evaporation when the black hole mass reaches the Planck mass. Their tendency is to reduce the degree of mixture for a non-gravitational state.

Finally, we want to emphasize that the unitarity-violating terms do not occur at a fundamental level. They arose only because the quantum fluctuations of the gravitational field were neglected in the expansion of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. In a genuine quantum gravitational treatment of the black hole evaporation problem, unitarity may well be preserved. As we mentioned above, the sign of the correction term indicates that this might be the case. It is, however, still an open problem [24].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Domenico Giulini, Carlos Lousto, and H.-Dieter Zeh for useful discussions and critical comments. One of us (C. K.) acknowledges support from the Thomalla foundation.

References

[1] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2460 (1976).

[2] D. N. Page, Preprint hep-th 9305040 (1993), to be published in the *Proceedings of the 5th Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics 1993.*

[3] J. Preskill, Caltech Report CALT-68-1819, hep-th 9209058 (1992).

[4] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 301 (1980).

[5] R. Müller and C. O. Lousto, Universität Konstanz Report, gr-qc 9307001 (1993).

[6] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3680 (1993).

[7] M. Schiffer, CERN Report CERN-TH. 6811/93, hep-th 9303011 (1993).

[8] R. D. Carlitz and R. S. Willey, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2336 (1987).

[9] F. Wilczek, Princeton Report IASSNS-HEP-93/12, hep-th/9302096 (1993).

[10] Y. Aharonov, A. Casher, and S. Nussinov, Phys. Lett. B **191**, 51 (1987).

[11] J. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin, D. V. Nanopoulos, and M. Srednicki, Nucl. Phys. B **241**, 381 (1984).

[12] M. Srednicki, University of California, Santa Barbara Report UCSB-TH-92-22, hep-th 9206056 (1992).

[13] J. Liu, Stanford University Report SU-ITP-93-1, hep-th 9301082 (1993).

[14] T. Banks, L. Susskind, and M. E. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. B **244**, 125 (1984).

[15] S. Giddings, University of California, Santa Barbara Report UCSB-TH-93-16, hep-th 9306041 (1993).

[16] C.-I. Kuo and L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4510 (1993); T. Padmanabhan and T. P. Singh, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, 411 (1990).

[17] C. Kiefer and T. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 1067 (1991).

[18] U. H. Gerlach, Phys. Rev. **177**, 1929 (1969).

[19] T. Banks, Nucl. Phys. B **249**, 332 (1985).

[20] K. Freese, C. T. Hill, and M. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B **255**, 693 (1985).

[21] C. Kiefer, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2044 (1992).

[22] K. Eppley and E. Hannah, Found. Phys. **7**, 51 (1977).

[23] B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rep. **19**, 295 (1975), R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, 1984).

[24] K. V. Kuchař, in *Proceedings of the 4th Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics*, ed. by G. Kunstatter, D. Vincent and J. Williams (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).